arXiv:1512.08080v1 [math.CO] 26 Dec 2015

The Raney Numbers and $(s, s+1)$-Core Partitions

Robin D.P. Zhou

College of Mathematics Physics and Information
ShaoXing University
ShaoXing 312000, P.R. China

zhoudapao@mail.nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

The Raney numbers $R_{p,r}(k)$ are a two-parameter generalization of the Catalan numbers. In this paper, we obtain a recurrence relation for the Raney numbers which is a generalization of the recurrence relation for the Catalan numbers. Using this recurrence relation, we confirm a conjecture posed by Amdeberhan concerning the enumeration of $(s, s+1)$-core partitions λ with parts that are multiples of p. We then give a new combinatorial interpretation for the Raney numbers $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$ with $0 \leq r < p$ in terms of $(kp + r, kp + r + 1)$-core partitions λ with parts that are multiples of p.

Keywords: Raney number, Catalan number, core partition, hook length, poset, order ideal, coral diagram

AMS Subject Classifications: 05A15, 05A17, 06A07

1 Introduction

In this paper, we build a connection between the Raney numbers and $(s, s + 1)$-core partitions with parts that are multiples of p. We show that the number of $(kp+r, kp+r+1)$-core partitions with parts that are multiples of p equals the Raney number $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$, confirming a conjecture posed by Amdeberhan [1].

The Raney numbers were introduced by Raney in his investigation of functional composition patterns [13] and these numbers have also been used in probability theory [11, 12]. The Raney numbers are defined as follows:

$$R_{p,r}(k) = \frac{r}{kp + r}\binom{kp + r}{k}. \tag{1.1}$$

The Raney numbers are a two-parameter generalization of the Catalan numbers. To be more specific, if $r = 1$, the Raney numbers specialize to the Fuss-Catalan numbers $C_p(k)$ [8, 9], where $C_p(k)$ are the numbers of k-ary trees with labeled p vertices and

$$C_p(k) = R_{p,1}(k) = \frac{1}{(p-1)k + 1}\binom{kp}{k}.$$

If we further set $p = 2$, we obtain the usual Catalan numbers C_k, that is,

$$R_{2,1}(k) = C_k = \frac{1}{k+1}\binom{2k}{k}. \tag{1.2}$$

In [9], Hilton and Pedersen obtained the following relation for the Raney numbers $R_{p,r}(k)$ and the Fuss-Catalan numbers $C_p(k)$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let p be a positive integer and let r, k be nonnegative integers. Then we have*

$$R_{p,r}(k) = \sum_{i_1+\ldots+i_r=k} C_p(i_1)C_p(i_2)\ldots C_p(i_r).$$

Based on Theorem 1.1, Beagley and Drube [5] gave a combinatorial interpretation for the Raney numbers in terms of coral diagrams which we will describe in Section 2.

Let us give an overview of notation and terminology on partitions. A partition λ of a positive integer n is a finite nonincreasing sequence of positive integers $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_m = n$. We write $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m) \vdash n$ and we say that n is the size of λ and m is the length of λ. The Young diagram of λ is defined to be an up- and left-justified array of n boxes with λ_i boxes in the i-th row. Each box B in λ determines a hook consisting of the box B itself and boxes directly to the right and directly below B. The hook length of B, denoted $h(B)$, is the number of boxes in the hook of B.

For a partition λ, the β-set of λ, denoted $\beta(\lambda)$, is defined to be the set of hook lengths of the boxes in the first column of λ. For example, Figure 1 illustrates the Young diagram and the hook lengths of a partition $\lambda = (5, 3, 2, 2, 1)$. The β-set of λ is $\beta(\lambda) = \{9, 6, 4, 3, 1\}$. Notice that a partition λ is uniquely determined by its β-set. Given a decreasing sequence of positive integers $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m)$, it is easily seen that the unique partition λ with $\beta(\lambda) = \{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m\}$ is

$$\lambda = (h_1 - (m-1), h_2 - (m-2), \ldots, h_{m-1} - 1, h_m). \tag{1.3}$$

9	7	4	2	1
6	4	1		
4	2			
3	1			
1				

Figure 1: The Young diagram of $\lambda = (5, 3, 2, 2, 1)$

For a positive integer t, a partition λ is a t-core partition, or simply a t-core, if it contains no box whose hook length is a multiple of t. Let s be a positive integer not equal to t, we say that λ is an (s,t)-core if it is simultaneously an s-core and a t-core. For example, the partition $\lambda = (5,3,2,2,1)$ in Figure 1 is a $(5,8)$-core.

Let s and t be two coprime positive integers. Anderson [3] showed that the number of (s,t)-core partitions equals $\binom{s+t}{s}/(s+t)$. It specializes to the Catalan number $C_s = \frac{1}{s+1}\binom{2s}{s}$ if $t = s+1$. Ford, Mai and Sze [7] proved that the number of self-conjugate (s,t)-core partitions equals $\binom{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor}{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}$. Furthermore, Olsson and Stanton [14] proved that there exists a unique (s,t)-core partition with the maximum size $(s^2-1)(t^2-1)/24$. A simpler proof was provided by Tripathi [17]. More results on (s,t)-core partitions can be found in [2, 4, 6, 10, 16, 18, 19].

In [1], Amdeberhan posed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 *Let s and p be positive integers. The number of $(s, s+1)$-core partitions λ with parts that are multiples of p equals*

$$\frac{s+1-p\lfloor \frac{s}{p} \rfloor}{s+1}\binom{s+\lfloor \frac{s}{p} \rfloor}{s}. \tag{1.4}$$

We observe that the expression (1.4) appearing in Conjecture 1.1 equals the Raney number $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$ if we write $s = kp + r$, where $0 \leq r < p$. To prove conjecture 1.1, in Section 2, we shall deduce a recurrence relation for the Raney numbers by using coral diagrams. In Section 3, we shall give a characterization of the β-set of the conjugate of an $(s, s+1)$-core partition with parts that are multiples of p. Based on this characterization, we show that the number of $(kp+r, kp+r+1)$-core partitions with parts that are multiples of p have the same recurrence relation with the Raney number $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$. This proves Conjecture 1.1.

2 Raney numbers

In this section, we investigate the Raney numbers by using coral diagrams. We obtain a recurrence relation for the Raney numbers which is a generalization of the recurrence relation for the Catalan numbers. We begin by introducing some graph theoretic terminology.

Let p be a positive integer. Then a p-star is a rooted tree with p terminal edges lying above a single base vertex. A coral diagram of type (p,r,k) is a rooted tree which is constructed from an r-star via the repeated placement of k p-stars atop terminal edges. Let $D(p,r,k)$ denote the set of coral diagrams of type (p,r,k). We can construct a coral diagram $D \in D(p,r,k)$ by attaching p-stars one "tier" at a time. We begin with the base tree and work upward. Figure 2 illustrates a coral diagram of type $(2,3,3)$.



Figure 2: Construction of a coral diagram of type $(2, 3, 3)$

We note that the definition of coral diagrams differs slightly from the one defined by Beagley and Drube [5]. In [5], a coral diagram of type (p, r, k) is a rooted tree which is constructed from an $(r + 1)$-star via the repeated placement of k p-stars atop terminal edges that are not the leftmost edge adjacent to the root. Not attaching p-stars to the leftmost edge adjacent to the root gives them a consistent way of selecting a base vertex for planar embedding. Anyhow, the coral diagrams under the two definitions have the same enumeration.

We need the following theorem due to Beagley and Drube [5].

Theorem 2.1 *Let p be a positive integer and let r, k be nonnegative integers. Then the number of coral diagrams of type (p, r, k) equals the Raney number $R_{p,r}(k)$, that is,*

$$|D(p, r, k)| = R_{p,r}(k).$$

Using Theorem 2.1, we obtain recurrence relations for Raney numbers, as follows.

Theorem 2.2 *Let p be a positive integer and let r, k be nonnegative integers. Then we have*

$$R_{p,1}(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} R_{p,1}(i) R_{p,p-1}(k - 1 - i), \tag{2.1}$$

$$R_{p,r}(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} R_{p,1}(i) R_{p,r-1}(k - i), r > 1. \tag{2.2}$$

And the Raney numbers $R_{p,r}(k)$ are uniquely determined by the above relations together with initial values $R_{p,r}(0) = 1$, $R_{p,0}(k + 1) = 0$.

Proof. The initial values $R_{p,r}(0) = 1$, $R_{p,0}(k+1) = 0$ follow directly from the expression (1.1) for the Raney numbers, that is,

$$R_{p,r}(k) = \frac{r}{kp+r}\binom{kp+r}{k}.$$

Now we assume that $k > 0$, $r > 0$. From Theorem 2.1, we see that $R_{p,r}(k)$ equals the number of coral diagrams of type (p, r, k), that is,

$$R_{p,r}(k) = |D(p, r, k)|.$$

We proceed to investigate the recurrence relations for Raney numbers $R_{p,r}(k)$ by using coral diagrams. Let D be a coral diagram in $D(p, r, k)$. There are two cases.

If $r > 1$, we divide the coral diagram D into two coral diagrams D_1 and D_2 by splitting the root of D such that the root of the coral diagram D_1 is only adjacent to the leftmost edge and the root of the coral diagram D_2 is adjacent to the rest $r - 1$ edges. See Figure 3 as an example. Assume that the coral diagram D_1 has i p-stars, then the coral diagram D_2 has $k - i$ p-stars. It yields that $D_1 \in D(p, 1, i)$ which is counted by $R_{p,1}(i)$, and $D_2 \in D(p, r - 1, k - i)$ which is counted by $R_{p,r-1}(k - i)$. Since the coral diagram D is uniquely determined by D_1 and D_2, we obtain the relation (2.2), that is,

$$R_{p,r}(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} R_{p,1}(i)R_{p,r-1}(k - i).$$

If $r = 1$, then D is constructed from a 1-star. Contracting the edge of this 1-star into a new vertex, we obtain a new coral diagram D'. It is easily seen that D' is a coral diagram in $D(p, p, k - 1)$, which is counted by $R_{p,p}(k - 1)$. Hence we have $R_{p,1}(k) = R_{p,p}(k - 1)$. If further $p = 1$, since $R_{1,0}(k) = 0$ when $k > 0$, relation (2.1) is equivalent to $R_{1,1}(k) = R_{1,1}(k - 1)$. By the expression (1.1) for the Raney numbers $R_{p,r}(k)$, it can be easily checked that $R_{1,1}(k) = R_{1,1}(k-1) = 1$. Hence relation (2.1) holds if $r = p = 1$. Now assume that $p > 1$. Combining relation (2.2) and $R_{p,1}(k) = R_{p,p}(k-1)$, we obtain (2.1).

To prove that the Raney numbers are uniquely determined by the relations (2.1) and (2.2) together with initial values $R_{p,r}(0) = 1$, $R_{p,0}(k + 1) = 0$, we use induction on k. If $k = 0$, we have that $R_{p,r}(0) = 1$. Suppose we can determine all the values of the Raney numbers $R_{p,r}(k)$ with $k \leq n$ from the relations (2.1) and (2.2) together with initial values. We proceed to show that $R_{p,r}(n+1)$ can be determined. First, $R_{p,1}(n+1)$ can be determined by (2.1). Then by (2.2), the values of $R_{p,2}(n + 1), R_{p,3}(n + 1), R_{p,4}(n + 1) \cdots$ can be obtained in turn. Thus we can determine all the values of the Raney numbers $R_{p,k}(n + 1)$. By induction, all the Raney numbers $R_{p,r}(k)$ can be determined. This completes the proof. ∎

From (1.2), we have that $C_k = R_{2,1}(k)$. Substituting $p = 2$ into (2.1), we obtain the recurrence relation for the Catalan numbers $C_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C_i C_{k-1-i}$.



Figure 3: Dividing a coral diagram into two coral diagrams

3 Proof of Conjecture 1.1

In this section, we shall give a characterization of the β-set of the conjugate of an $(s, s+1)$-core partition with parts that are multiples of p. Using this characterization, we prove Conjecture 1.1 posed by Amdeberhan. We then give a new combinatorial interpretation for the Raney numbers $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$ with $0 \leq r < p$ in terms of $(kp+r, kp+r+1)$-core partitions λ with parts that are multiples of p.

We observe that the expression (1.4) appearing in Conjecture 1.1 equals the Raney number $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$ if we write $s = kp + r$, where $0 \leq r < p$. That is,

$$\frac{s+1-p\lfloor \frac{s}{p} \rfloor}{s+1}\binom{s+\lfloor \frac{s}{p} \rfloor}{s} = \frac{kp+r+1-kp}{kp+r+1}\binom{kp+r+k}{kp+r}$$

$$= \frac{r+1}{k(p+1)+r+1}\binom{k(p+1)+r+1}{k} = R_{p+1,r+1}(k).$$

Hence Conjecture 1.1 can be restated as the following equivalent theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Let s and p be positive integers. Suppose that $s = kp+r$, where $0 \leq r < p$. Then the number of $(s, s+1)$-core partitions λ with parts that are multiples of p equals the Raney number $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$.*

To prove the above theorem, we shall give a characterization of the β-set $\beta(\lambda^c)$, where λ^c is the conjugate of an $(s, s+1)$-core partition λ with parts that are multiples of p. Let us recall some notation and terminology on posets.

Let P be a poset. For two elements x and y in P, we say y covers x if $x < y$ and there exists no element $z \in P$ satisfying $x < z < y$. The Hasse diagram of a finite poset P is a graph whose vertices are the elements of P, whose edges are the cover relations, and such that if y covers x then there is an edge connecting x and y and y is placed above x. An order ideal of P is a subset I such that if any $y \in I$ and $x \leq y$ in P, then $x \in I$. Let $J(P)$ denote the set of order ideals of P. For more details on poset, see Stanley [15].

In the following lemma, Anderson [3] established a correspondence between core partitions and order ideals of a certain poset by mapping a partition to its β-set.

Lemma 3.2 *Let s, t be two coprime positive integers, and let λ be a partition of n. Then λ is an (s,t)-core partition if and only if $\beta(\lambda)$ is an order ideal of $P_{(s,t)}$. where*

$$P_{(s,t)} = \mathbb{N}^+ \setminus \{n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid n = k_1 s + k_2 t \text{ for some } k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and y covers x in $P_{(s,t)}$ if $y - x \in \{s, t\}$.

For example, let $s = 3$ and $t = 4$. We can construct all $(3,4)$-core partitions by finding order ideals of $P_{(3,4)}$. It is easily checked that $P_{(3,4)} = \{1, 2, 5\}$ with the partial order $5 > 2$ and $5 > 1$. Hence the order ideals of $P_{(3,4)}$ are $\emptyset$, $\{1\}$, $\{2\}$, $\{2, 1\}$ and $\{5, 2, 1\}$. The corresponding $(3,4)$-core partitions are $\emptyset$, (1), (2), $(1,1)$ and $(3,1,1)$, respectively. Let $T_s = P_{(s,s+1)}$. From Lemma 3.2, the Hasse diagram of T_s can be easily constructed. For example, Figure 4 illustrates the Hasse diagram of the poset T_6.



Figure 4: The Hasse diagram of T_6

Let λ be an $(s, s+1)$-core partition with parts that are multiples of p and let λ^c be the conjugate of λ. Since λ^c is also an $(s, s+1)$-core partition, from Lemma 3.2, we see that $\beta(\lambda^c)$ is an order ideal in T_s. The following lemma gives a further description of $\beta(\lambda^c)$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let λ be a partition with parts that are multiples of p and let λ^c be the conjugate of λ. Then the length of each maximal consecutive integers in $\beta(\lambda^c)$ is divided by p.*

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda^c = (a_1^{m_1}, a_2^{m_2}, \dots a_n^{m_n})$, where $a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_n$ and $a_i^{m_i}$ means m_i occurrences of a_i. Since λ is a partition with parts that are multiples of p, we have that $m_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ is a multiple of p. Then the lemma follows directly from the relation of a partition and its β-set shown in (1.3). ∎

Let S be an integer set and let l be a positive integer. We say that the set S has property P_l if the length of each maximal consecutive integers in S is divided by l. For example, the integer set $\{1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\}$ has property P_3.

Let λ be a partition. From Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we see that λ is an $(s, s+1)$-core partition with parts that are multiple of p if and only if $\beta(\lambda^c)$ is an order ideal in T_s with property P_p. Let s and p be positive integers. Suppose that $s = kp + r$, where $0 \leq r < p$. Let $C_{p,r}(k)$ denote the number of order ideals in T_s with property P_p. We set $C_{p,-1}(k) = 0$ if $k > 0$ and $p \geq 0$. Hence to prove Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that $C_{p,r}(k) = R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$.

We proceed to compute the number of order ideals in T_s with property P_p. To this end, we shall partition $J(T_s)$ according to the smallest missing element of rank 0 in an order ideal. Note that the elements of rank 0 in T_s are just the minimal elements. For $1 \leq i \leq s-1$, let $J_i(T_s)$ denote the set of order ideals of T_s such that i is the smallest missing element of rank 0. Let $J_s(T_s)$ denote the set of order ideals which contain all minimal elements in T_s. Then we can write $J(T_s)$ as

$$J(T_s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} J_i(T_s).$$

Figure 5 gives an illustration of the elements contained in an order ideal in $J_5(T_{12})$. We see that an order ideal $I \in J_5(T_{12})$ must contain the elements labeled by squares, but does not contain any elements represented by open circles. The elements represented by solid circles may or may not appear in I. That is, I can be decomposed into three parts, one is $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, one is isomorphic to an order ideal of T_4 and one is isomorphic to an order ideal of T_7.

In general, for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and an order ideal $I \in J_i(T_s)$, we can decompose it into three parts: one is $\{1, 2, \dots, i-1\}$, one is isomorphic to an order ideal of T_{i-1} and one is isomorphic to an order ideal of T_{s-i} (Some parts may be empty). We shall use this decomposition to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We prove this theorem by showing that $C_{p,r}(k)$ and $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$ have the same recurrence relation and initial values. If $k = 0$, that is, $s = r < p$. Then the unique order ideal in T_r with property P_p is $\emptyset$. By the definition of $C_{p,r}(k)$, we have



Figure 5: The elements of an order ideal $I \in J_5(T_{12})$

that $C_{p,r}(0) = 1 = R_{p+1,r+1}(0)$. Combining with $C_{p-1,-1}(k) = R_{p,0}(k) = 0$, where $k > 0$, we see that $C_{p,r}(k)$ have the same initial values with $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$.

We proceed to show that $C_{p,r}(k)$ and $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$ have the same recurrence relation. Suppose now $k \geq 1$. Let I be an order ideal in $J(T_s)$ with property P_p. Then $I \in J_{ip+1}(T_s)$, where $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{s-1}{p} \rfloor$ since I has property P_p. I can be decomposed into three parts: one is $\{1, 2, \ldots, ip\}$, one is isomorphic to an order ideal I_1 of T_{ip} and one is isomorphic to an order ideal I_2 of T_{s-1-ip}. Since the absolute difference of any two numbers in two parts are larger than 1, we have that all of the three parts $\{1, 2, \ldots, ip\}$, I_1 and I_2 have property P_p.

It is easily seen that the number of order ideals in T_{ip} with property P_p is counted by $C_{p,0}(i)$. To enumerate the number of order ideals in T_{s-1-ip} with property P_p, we consider two cases. If $r = 0$, namely $s = kp$, then $s - 1 - ip = (k - i - 1)p + (p - 1)$. It follows that the number of order ideals in T_{s-1-ip} with property P_p is counted by $C_{p,p-1}(k-i-1)$. If $r > 0$, then $s-1-ip = (k-i)p+r-1$. So in this case, the number of order ideals in T_{s-1-ip} with property P_p is counted by $C_{p,r-1}(k-i)$.

Combining the two cases, we have that

$$C_{p,0}(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C_{p,0}(i) C_{p,p-1}(k-i-1),$$

$$C_{p,r}(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_{p,0}(i) C_{p,r-1}(k-i), r > 0.$$

It can be checked that $C_{p,r}(k)$ have the same recurrence relation with the Raney numbers $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$ as shown in Theorem 2.2. By a similar discussion as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we obtain that $C_{p,r}(k)$ are uniquely determined by the above relations together with the initial values. Hence we have that $C_{p,r}(k) = R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$. This completes the proof. ∎

From Theorem 3.1, we see that the Raney numbers $R_{p+1,r+1}(k)$ with $0 \leq r < p$ equal the numbers of $(kp + r, kp + r + 1)$-core partitions with parts that are multiples of p. This gives a new combinatorial interpretation for these Raney numbers.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China.

References

[1] T. Amdeberhan, Theorems, problems and conjectures, arXiv:1207.4045.

[2] T. Amdeberhan and E. Leven, Multi-cores, posets, and lattice paths, arXiv:1406.2250.

[3] J. Anderson, Partitions which are simultaneously t_1- and t_2-core, Discrete Math., 248 (2002), 237–243.

[4] D. Armstrong, C.R.H. Hanusa and B.C. Jones, Results and conjectures on simultaneous core partitions, European J. Combin., 41 (2014), 205–220.

[5] J.E. Beagley, P. Drube, The Raney genralization of Catalan numbers and the enumeration of planar embeddings, Australasian J. Combin., 63 (2015). 130–141.

[6] W.Y.C. Chen, H.H.Y. Huang and L.X.W. Wang, Average size of a self-conjugate (s, t)-core partition, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., arXiv:1405.2175.

[7] B. Ford, H. Mai and L. Sze, Self-conjugate simultaneous p- and q-core partitions and blocks of A_n, J. Number Theory, 129 (2009), 858–865.

[8] R.L. Graham, D.E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, Concrete Mathematics, 1994, Addison-Wesley, Boston.

[9] P. Hilton, J. Pedersen, Catalan numbers, their generalization, and their uses. Mathematical Intelligencer, 13 (1991), 64–75.

[10] P. Johnson, Lattice points and simultaneous core partitiouns, arXiv:1502.07934.

[11] W. Mlotkowski, Fuss-Catalan numbers in noncommutative probability, Documenta Math., 15 (2010), 939–955.

[12] W. Mlotkowski, K.A. Penson and K.Zyczkowski, Densities of the Raney distributions, Documenta Math., 18 (2013). 1573–1596.

[13] G.N. Raney, Functional composition patterns and power series reversion, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 94 (1960), 441–451.

[14] J.B. Olsson and D. Stanton, Block inclusions and cores of partitions, Aequationes Math., 74 (2007), 90–110.

[15] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 1, Second ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.

[16] R.P. Stanley and F. Zanello, The Catalan case of Armstrong's conjecture on simultaneous core partitions, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 29 (2013) 658–666.

[17] A. Tripathi, On the largest size of a partition that is both s-core and t-core, J. Number Theory, 129 (2009), 1805–1811.

[18] V.Y. Wang, Simultaneous core partitions: parameterizations and sums, arXiv:1507.04290v3.

[19] J.Y.X. Yang, M.X.X. Zhong, R.D.P. Zhou, On the enumeration of $(s, s+1, s+2)$-core partitions, European J. Combin., 49 (2015), 203–217.